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Agenda

• Building Urgency and the Case for Change – Georgia State
• Economic Mobility and Equity
• Fun Exploration of the Need for Guided Pathways
• Exploring Guided Pathways
• Guided Pathways Demystified

Demystifying Guided Pathways One:
Exploring Ten Commonly Asked Questions about Implementing Pathways

Demystifying Guided Pathways Paper

• Released November 2015 by NCII
• Companion to excellent CCRC Book
• Available at http://www.inquiry2improvement.com/publications-resources
• Designed to address questions NCII, CCRC, JFF, and Public Agenda have heard in hundreds of guided pathways sessions with faculty, student services professionals and administrators
• Not the defining word – just food for thought!
Demystifying Guided Pathways Two: Exploring Ten More Operational Questions About Implementing Pathways

Mel Brooks' History of the World Part 2: I give You These 10...No...20 Questions...

- But wait, are there more?
- Collected questions for a sequel, given my profit margin on the first paper...
- Title - Guided Pathways Demystified II: Addressing New Questions as the Movement Gains Momentum
- Explored starting in Aug 2016 in CBD Blog posts
- Paper Released October 2017

First 10 FAQs - Redesigning for Completion

- Q1 - Isn't college a meritocracy, where the strong / smart succeed, and the weak / underprepared don't succeed?
- Q2 - Isn't “free choice” the cornerstone of American higher education?
- Q3 – Won’t we sacrifice quality when we move to guided pathways?
- Q4 – Won’t we lose the heart of a liberal arts education when we make students’ journeys more structured?
- Q5 – Won’t faculty lose control over what is taught in their discipline?

What are Practitioners Top Questions about Guided Pathways?
First 10 FAQs - Redesigning for Completion

• Q6 – Won’t we lose enrollment if we decrease swirl with increased structure or by making things mandatory?
• Q7 – Isn’t all of this “hand-holding” going to create graduates that can’t navigate the workplace / real world?
• Q8 – Don’t students benefit when they “find themselves” by what looks like wandering to an observer?
• Q9 – How can students be expected to make career decisions at age 18?
• Q10 – Don’t students change careers 4 to 7 times – why then guided pathways?

The Next 10 Questions about Guided Pathways

• Q11 - Isn’t guided pathways just the next educational fad?
• Q12 -How do we further emphasize equity and inclusion in the pathways approach?
• Q13 - How do we build effective guided pathways for part-time students?
• Q14 - What happens when students are below transferrable English and Math?
• Q15 - What happens if students change their minds? Do they have to start over?

The Next 10 Questions about Guided Pathways

• Q16 - What should the institution do when students fall off their guided pathway?
• Q17 - How does a focus on teaching & learning need to evolve / shift under a guided pathways approach?
• Q18 - Doesn’t faculty workload go up under a guided pathways model? Aren’t we already overworked enough?
• Q19 - How do we best use technology to keep students on the pathways?
• Q20 - How can we get all the work necessary to plan and execute guided pathways done by (insert date here)?
First 10 FAQs - Redesigning for Completion

Q1 - Isn’t college a meritocracy, where the strong / smart succeed, and the weak / underprepared / unmotivated don’t succeed?
• Model of higher education relatively unchanged
• Income quartile and college graduation rates of similarly high-achieving students
• Haven’t tested the limits of potential changes such as guided pathways – CUNY, Georgia State data

Q2 – Isn’t “free choice” the cornerstone of American higher education?
• Behavioral economics and social psychology research on number of choices and rationality
• Existence of degree audit programs
• Choice architecture / career interest areas – Queensborough, City College Chicago, ASU, Lorain
• Picking courses vs. picking programs

Q3 – Won’t we sacrifice quality when we move to guided pathways?
• Foothill’s Four C’s as a model for GE / liberal arts outcomes
• Assessment paradigms challenged to establish current quality
• Employer feedback surveys suggest issues exist
• 10 to 14 GE courses under both models
• Random assignment of GE package vs. “fit”

Q4 – Won’t we lose the heart of a liberal arts education when we make students’ journeys more structured?
• Argument that liberal arts outcomes more impt. now
• 10 to 14 GE Courses break down into areas – business, natural science, humanities, social sciences, mathematics, English
• Same number of humanities (or any other area) under guided pathways vs. cafeteria model
• Program faculty identify GE electives for best alignment for students in their programs
First 10 FAQs - Redesigning for Completion

Q5 – Won’t faculty lose control over what is taught in their discipline?
• Faculty control already shifting with transfer pathways and articulation agreements
• Ownership over what is taught in programs vs. individual courses
• Faculty teaching preferences vs. ensuring courses will be applicable / keep students on path

Q6 – Won’t we lose enrollment at our college if we decrease swirl with increased structure or by making things mandatory?
• CC enrollments largely down since 2011-12
• No drops at MDC, GTCC & others implementing guided pathways / mandatory features
• Changes can only be opposed by current students
• Potential to increase units / student significantly

Q7 – Isn’t all of this “hand-holding” going to create graduates that can’t navigate the workplace / real world?
• Value of systems that those who work in higher education have trouble navigating
• Complexity dissuades students – especially students of color / first time in college students – that data shows us could succeed under right conditions
• Potential to exacerbate race, class and income equality – issues of social capital

Q8 – Don’t students benefit when they “find themselves” by what looks like wandering to an observer?
• Most students don’t want to wander – research studies by RP (CA), Public Agenda (IN), and CBD
• Works for some who can afford to – not as often for low-income students and FTIC
• Taking courses as way of finding oneself inefficient
• Those who “found themselves” tend to be us
First 10 FAQs - Redesigning for Completion

Q9 – How can students be expected to make career decisions at age 18? and Q10 – Don’t students change careers 4 to 7 times – why then guided pathways?
• Common coursework leading into multiple options
• GP provides more clarity on career selection
• GP can focus attention on GE skills that will help students navigate careers and career changes
• Most importantly, let’s get students into and prepared for careers vs. dropping out and taking jobs by getting them to complete / transfer at higher rates

Next 10 FAQs - Redesigning for Completion

Q11 – What makes guided pathways different (from other educational fads)?
• Movement, not an initiative
• Thinking of it as a framework that
  • (a) brings together existing approaches
  • (b) inspires bolder, more substantive change
• Use as an umbrella between series of disconnected initiatives
• 4 “Big Ideas” serving as pillars over time

Next 10 FAQs - Redesigning for Completion

Q12 - How do we further emphasize equity and inclusion in the guided pathways approach?
• Guided pathways is fundamentally about ensuring equity of substantially improved student outcomes
• Georgia State story – equity; changing the college rather than changing the student; student lives affected
• Focus on a livable wage and a career path that enables students to sustain early economic gains
• Direct GP efforts towards improving success of middle 2/3 of students (transfers and cohort-based CTE)

Next 10 FAQs - Redesigning for Completion

Q13 - How do we build effective guided pathways for part-time students?
• 60%+ of students enroll part-time, but completion rate is abysmal
• Full-time enrollment = higher completion rate, but why are students not enrolling full-time?
  ✓ Value proposition problem, students are unsure of what they are getting for their investment
  ✓ Student financial stability focus (tying to public benefits, emergency assistance, nutrition, transportation, childcare) would help increase full-time enrollment
• Part-time students need structured pathways and support even more
Q14 - What happens when students are below transferrable English and/or math upon entry?

- Consider acceleration and “co-requisite” programs
- Need to make sure students are taking the right math and English classes for their pathway
- Think strategically about what skills students truly need to develop in alignment with those goals and select coursework accordingly
- For students who do need dev ed, focus on what courses they can take to make forward progress

Q15 - What happens if students change their minds about their program of study? Do they have to start over?

- Simply put, if students change their mind, they do not have to start over
- Students and advisors would need to figure out which of their completed courses follow them as completed GE requirements for their new program of study
- Meta-majors and career-focus areas allow students to explore interests earlier while meeting requirements
- GP are designed to help students make more informed decisions from day one

Q16 - What should our college do when students fall off their guided pathway?

- Consider the reasons why – is a student still going within a pathway or exploring changing pathways
- Clear intervention strategies for when students do fall off the pathway
  - Ongoing advising and integrated support
  - Use of summer terms, incomplete grades, independent study, online courses for catch-up
- Learn strategies from cohort-based CTE programs

Q17 - How does teaching and learning need to evolve under a guided pathways approach?

- Primary focus is the shift from focus on courses to focus on programs
- Create more alignment between program-level, liberal arts, and GE outcomes
- Integration of experiences into coursework
- Authentic assessment of students’ achievement of program-level outcomes
Next 10 FAQs - Redesigning for Completion

Q18 - How much will faculty workload increase under a guided pathways model?

• Workload in development vs. workload when GP is in place
• Early GP pioneers report no workload changes once the pathway is in place
• Some increased emphasis on traditionally “outside of the classroom” discussions and activities
• Faculty advisors have an easier time working with a GP map than current student transcripts

Q19 - How do we best use technology to keep students on their pathways?

• Colleges need to have an idea of how to use technology before buying it
• Simple modifications in technology use could serve as a catalyst for improvement
• Cuyahoga (OH) – Year-long enrollment codes
• Assessment of local needs
• AACC Pathways Partners Paper – also on NCII website

Q20 - How can we get all the work necessary to plan and execute guided pathways done by (insert date here)?

• Scale of Adoption Assessment- establish a baseline for each of the four domains
• Critical to create a project plan for each domain
  ✓ Workgroup / taskforce structure with clear goals
• When changes are made, they are version 1.0 and will continue to adapt over time

Find Out More

• NCII website with GPDM2 and other publications:
  www.ncii-improve.com/publications
• Dr. Rob Johnstone, Founder & President, NCII
  rob@ncii-improve.com