

Career Technical Education Enhanced Funding

Draft ideas on Regional Consortia Certification

LAOCRC expects to receive \$16,695,271

60% Funding

Voting members certify all 60% applications meet the legislative language elements

➤ 60% portion are given yes or no designations

If Regional Consortia says no, the college is given a summary of the reasons for denial Is there an Appeal process? If yes, see draft process below.

Proposed Appeal Process- 60%

If application is denied, the college may appeal
If appeal is filed, the Regional Consortia will form an appeals committee
Regional Consortia provides rationale for denial (one or more no's is cause for denial)

Legislative Language Elements

1. Criteria

- a. Priority and emerging (or other occupations showing demand)
 Yes or No only
- b. Labor Market Demand (examples below)

No data given

Did not use standard Centers of Excellence data

Alignment of program and curricula

Oversubscription in region of an TOP Code

No faculty in TOP Code signed off on proposal

2. Priority (must meet at least one of the three items below)

- a. Priority in Regional Consortia
- b. Emerging in Regional Consortia
- c. Articulation with K-12 or four year institutions

3. Match

a. See FAQ's for match definition

40% Funding

Voting members certify all 40% applications meet legislative language requirements
The 40% application will be for multi-college/multi-regions only
Evaluation of the 40% application designates Yes or No vote on legislative language requirements (same as process for 60%)

- 1. If all yes, then the Regional Consortia will rate the quality of the proposed project in meeting the legislative language elements
- 2. Rate the regionalization of the proposed project

If Regional Consortia says no, the college is given a summary of the reasons for denial Is there an Appeal process? If yes, see draft process below.



Lynn Shaw, Vice Chair Revised: 9/22/2014



Proposed Appeal Process- 40%

Step 1: Does the application meet the basic legislative elements, all must be yes

Legislative Language

1. Criteria

- a. Priority and emerging (or others with demand) Yes or No only
- b. Labor Market Demand (examples below)
 - No data given
 - Did not use standard Centers of Excellence data
- c. Alignment of program and curricula
 - Oversubscription in region of an TOP Code
 - No faculty in TOP Code signed off on proposal
 - Demonstrates capacity to successfully implement project

2. Priority (must meet at least one of the three items below)

- a. Priority in Regional Consortia
- b. Emerging in Regional Consortia
- c. Articulation with K-12 or four year institutions

3. Match

a. See FAQ's for match definition

Step 2: Rate the quality of the proposed project in meeting the legislative language elements

Legislative Language

1. Criteria

- a. Priority and emerging or occupations showing demand (ranking)
 - i. Regional Priority and Emerging
 - ii. Statewide Priority and Emerging (ie: agriculture)
 - iii. Non-regional/state priority and emerging (ie: administration of justice)
- b. Labor Market Demand (examples)
 - i. Meets, but does not exceed regional labor market demand, as defined by Centers of Excellence data
- c. Alignment of program and curricula (examples)
 - i. Regional faculty collaboration
 - ii. Common C-ID regionally
 - iii. Regional stackable certificates

2. Priority (must meet at least one of the three items below)

- a. Priority in Regional Consortia
- b. Emerging in Regional Consortia
- c. Articulation with K-12 or four year institution (examples)
 - i. Standardized institutional agreements

3. Match

a. See FAQ's for match

Proposed Appeal Process- 40% Portion

If application is denied, the college may appeal
If appeal filed, the Regional Consortia will form an appeals committee
Regional Consortia appeals committee provides rationale for denial
No changes, additions or deletions to the original application is allowed

- 1. Challenge the scoring
 - a. The appeals committee will review the scoring and adjust or stay with original score



LAOCRC is supported by the CA Community College Chancellor's Office and Carl D. Perkins IV grant #13-150-003. Steven Glyer, Chair

Dr. Lynn Shaw, Vice Chair Revised: 9/22/2014



Questions

- If denied, can the application be resubmitted, with changes?
- Who can apply? (Strategic Planning Committee, Deputy Sector Navigators, Centers of Excellence, etc.)
- What is the scoring system?
- How does the Regional Consortia rank the "match"?
- Are we concerned about a geographic distribution?
- Should we fund less than requested? Process?
- Should the bottom ranked proposal receive a partial if we run out of money? Do they need to rewrite and rerank?

Notes from September 4, 2014:

- The 40% funding is our opportunity to build a model for future money for the region. LAOCRC is hoping that colleges will not be competitive on as individual colleges, but rather we focus on working regionally and doing what is best for our students in the region.
- Utilize the Deputy Sector Navigators. They can create a vision and a regional model for their sector.
- If a college has their own access to EMSI, they can use it but must coordinate with Centers of Excellence.
- It is recommended to use more detailed 6 digit TOP Codes.



Revised: 9/22/2014