



Santa Monica Community College District
Budget Planning Committee a Subcommittee of the
District Planning and Advisory Council
JUNE 1, 2011
MINUTES

A meeting of the Santa Monica Community College Budget Planning Committee, a subcommittee of the District Planning and Advisory Council (DPAC) was held on Wednesday, June 1, 2011 at 2:07 p.m. at Santa Monica College, Library 275, 1900 Pico Boulevard, Santa Monica, California.

I. Call to Order 2:07 p.m.

II. Budget Planning Committee Members

Chris Bonvenuto, Administration (Absent)
Bob Isomoto, Administration, Co-Chair
Eric Oifer, Academic Senate Representative
Janet Harclerode, Academic Senate Representative (Absent)
Erica LeBlanc, Management Association Representative
Albert Vasquez, Management Association Representative
Mitra Moassessi, Faculty Association Representative
Howard Stahl, Faculty Association Representative, Co-Chair
Bernie Rosenloecher, CSEA Representative
Leroy Lauer, CSEA Representative
Connie Lemke, CSEA Representative
Kevin Kurtzman, Student Representative (Absent)
Jessica Chun, Student Representative (Absent)
Ka Man Ho, Student Representative

Interested Parties:

Randy Lawson, Administration
Mario Martinez, Faculty Association Representative
Tiffany Inabu, Student Representative
Harrison Wills

III. Review of Minutes: May 18, 2011 accepted

IV. Agenda:

A. Revised 2011-2012 Tentative Budget

Bob Isomoto shared a revised Tentative Budget with the Committee. The revised Tentative Budget is based on the League's original Scenario B with the addition of a fee-based Winter intersession of 300 course sections and a restoration of \$1 million in student services that was cut in the previous Tentative Budget discussed at the prior meeting of the Committee. Bob Isomoto discussed different options for a Winter intersession, including running one funded through state apportionment.

There was much discussion about the assumptions that built the revised Tentative Budget. Certain committee members believed the recently released May revision of the state budget supports using Scenario A while other committee members supported the District's decision to utilize Scenario B.

Following all this discussion, no action was taken.

B. Adopted Budget Assumptions for 2011-2012 Fiscal Year

The Committee began discussing various assumptions used to prepare the Adopted Budget. 21 different assumptions were identified earlier by Fiscal Services. The Committee recommended the inclusion of two additional assumptions: Categorical Funding (namely, the level of backfill for categorical programs supported by the District) and FTES (namely, the faculty efficiency ratio and level of unfunded FTES planned by the District).

The Committee recommended that Assumption # 8 be removed. The Committee plans to discuss Assumptions #1, #7, #13 and #14 in greater detail at its next meeting. The Committee will continue these discussions at its next meeting, starting with Assumption #18.

Adjournment at 4:00 p.m.