



Santa Monica Community College District
Budget Planning Committee a Subcommittee of the
District Planning and Advisory Council
JUNE 5, 2013
MINUTES

A meeting of the Santa Monica Community College Budget Planning Committee, a subcommittee of the District Planning and Advisory Council (DPAC) was held on Wednesday, June 5, 2013 at 2:03 p.m. at Santa Monica College, Library 275, 1900 Pico Boulevard, Santa Monica, California.

I. Call to Order 2:03 p.m.

II. Budget Planning Committee Members

Bob Isomoto, Administration, Co-Chair
Eve Adler, Academic Senate Representative
Janet Harclerode, Academic Senate Representative
Teresita Rodriguez, Management Association Representative
Mona Martin, Management Association Representative
Mitra Moassessi, Faculty Association Representative
Howard Stahl, Faculty Association Representative, Co-Chair
Bernie Rosenloecher, CSEA Representative
Leroy Lauer, CSEA Representative
Nilofar Ghasami, CSEA Representative
Mike Roberts, CSEA Representative
Wasi Momin, Student Representative (Absent)
Inayat Issa, Student Representative
Cecile Parcelier, Student Representative
Sherri Pringle, Student Representative

Interested Parties:

Randy Lawson, Administration
Mario Martinez, Faculty Association Representative
Tom Chen, Faculty Association Representative
Ryan Downer, Student Representative

III. Review of Minutes: May 29, 2013 accepted as amended

IV. Agenda:

A. Summer Schedule

The Committee discussed the upcoming schedule of meetings. In order to have time enough to review the Adopted Budget and its assumptions, the following timeline was discussed:

July 31 meeting: review Budget Assumptions used to build the Adopted Budget

August 7 meeting: review the Adopted Budget

August 21 meeting: review the Adopted Budget

August 28 meeting: DPAC to review the Adopted Budget

September 3 meeting: Board to review and approve the Adopted Budget

B. Discussion of OPEB and GASB 45 Liability

The Committee discussed five different alternative funding plans that would create \$95 million in the irrevocable trust in the next 30 years. Scenarios 2, 4 and 5 were considered viable for further review. The spreadsheet with these scenarios will be emailed to members in the next few days. Compared to the initial idea proposed by Fiscal Services, each of these alternatives raised much more funding via earned interest, as these alternatives had the benefit of additional time to grow the interest component of the plan.

Committee members discussed the idea of recommending, what ever plan or plans are put forward, that the plan get regularly reviewed as things change and future audits are completed. Committee members also discussed the idea of recommending a new budget line to allocate the pre-funded amount each year as the Adopted Budget gets prepared.

The Committee also discussed different sources of funding to raise the funds for the "Yearly Amount Toward ARC" in the different funding plans. Various ideas were considered including attaching to new state funding received, using energy savings, renting District real property, purchasing the Madison campus from the unified district, holding open air markets, using new one-time money. Further discussion on funding sources will continue at a future meeting.

V. Adjournment at 3:30 p.m.